

Summary:

**DeForest Area School District,
Wisconsin; General Obligation**

Primary Credit Analyst:

John A Kenward, Chicago (1) 312-233-7003; john.kenward@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Andrew J Truckenmiller, Chicago + 1 (312) 233 7032; andrew.truckenmiller@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rationale

Outlook

Related Research

Summary:

DeForest Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$84.5 mil GO rfdg bnds dtd 07/31/2019 due 04/01/2039

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------|-----|
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | AA+/Stable | New |
|-------------------------|------------|-----|

DeForest Area Sch Dist GO

| | | |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|
| <i>Long Term Rating</i> | AA+/Stable | Affirmed |
|-------------------------|------------|----------|

Rationale

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' rating and stable outlook to DeForest Area School District, Wis.' general obligation (GO) refunding bonds, with an estimated dated date of July 31, 2019, and affirmed its 'AA+' rating, with a stable outlook, on the district's existing GO debt.

The district's unlimited-tax-GO pledge secures the bonds, which the electorate authorized at referendum.

Management plans to use new bond proceeds to refund bond anticipation notes issued earlier in 2019. Voters approved up to \$125 million of additional GO debt in April 2019 to construct a new intermediate school, expand the high school, and fund various improvements. Management plans to pay \$7 million of the total \$129 million project cost with equity contributions.

Credit summary

The district's access to Madison's broad and diverse economy supports a growing property tax base and enrollment. Positive enrollment will help management maintain balanced operations under the state's per-pupil revenue limit. Despite the lack of revenue flexibility associated with Wisconsin school districts, the district has built, what we consider, very strong operating reserves that allow it to set aside large capital reserves. We consider moderately high-to-high debt its greatest credit weakness.

The rating reflects our opinion of the district's:

- Participation in Madison's and Dane County's deep, diverse economies;
- Strong income, measured by median household effective buying income;
- Growing enrollment, directly tied to district revenue; and
- Very strong financial reserves, paired with good financial management practices under our Financial Management Assessment (FMA) methodology.

We believe what we consider moderately high-to-high debt somewhat offsets these strengths.

Economy

The district is in south-central Wisconsin in Dane and Columbia counties, 10 miles north of downtown Madison, which is the state capital and home to University of Wisconsin's flagship campus. The district serves a population estimate of 23,000 in portions of Madison and Sun Prairie; all of DeForest Village; and portions of the towns of Hampden, Leeds, Bristol, Burke, Vienna, and Windsor.

The district's location north of Madison, along U.S. Highway 51, provides residents with a broad, ever-increasing jobs base. County unemployment was 2.2% in 2018, below state and national levels. We consider median household and per capita effective buying incomes strong at 127% and 112%, respectively, of national averages. Estimated market value, in terms of equalized value (EV), including tax-increment districts, increased by 38% since 2014 to \$2.8 billion in 2018, or, in our opinion, an extremely strong \$122,360 per capita. The tax base is very diverse with the 10 leading taxpayers amounting to only 5.4% of EV, including tax-increment districts.

Finances

Wisconsin school districts operate under a per-pupil revenue cap with the pupil count calculated as a three-year moving average; the state determines annual cap increases. For the 2018-2019 school year, the district has reported total student enrollment of 3,829, a 5.6% increase over school year 2014-2015. Management is projecting enrollment will increase by another 8.6% by school year 2023-2024 as the population grows.

The district reported general fund surpluses after transfers of \$97,000 at fiscal year-end June 30, 2017, and \$115,000 in fiscal 2018. Therefore, assigned, unassigned general fund balances increased to \$19 million at fiscal year-end 2018, which we consider very strong at 46% of expenditures. For fiscal 2019, management is projecting a \$100,000 general fund surplus after transfers for capital projects. Although the preliminary fiscal 2020 budget is still in progress, officials expect to structure it with, at least, breakeven general fund results after capital-project transfers.

Voters in 2019 approved a supplemental operating levy management projects will generate an additional \$2.5 million annually, starting with fiscal 2020. Management plans to use \$1.5 million of the new revenue for capital projects in fiscal years 2020 and 2021, and it intends to use the remainder to enhance operations. Management will complete its \$7 million equity contribution to bond-financed projects with reserves held in capital-projects funds.

Management

We consider the district's financial management practices good under our FMA methodology, indicating that financial practices exist in most areas but that governance officials might not formalize or regularly monitor all of them.

Highlights include management's:

- Use of demographers to provide enrollment projections;
- Use of historical trends, state per-pupil funding limit updates, and input from the teachers' contract to set each new budget's revenue and expenditures;
- Providing of three budget reports to the school board annually, along with voucher reports for each semimonthly board meeting;
- Long-term financial projections covering the budget year and five forward years, which it annually updates and presents to the board;

- Multiyear capital-maintenance plan it updates annually, organized by building, with project costs and payment sources;
- Board-approved debt-management policy that refers to state limitations; and
- Board-approved fund-balance policy it updates in June 2019 to maintain unassigned general fund balance at 30%-35% of expenditures to cover cash-flow needs and contingencies.

Debt and pension liabilities

We view overall net debt, including debt from overlapping entities, as moderately high at 7.9% of market value and high at \$9,650 per capita. We consider direct principal debt amortization slower than average with officials planning to retire 37% within 10 years. In fiscal 2018, debt service carrying charges, after adjusting for refunding costs, were a low 7.9% of total governmental expenditures. Management currently expects to issue the remaining \$32 million of voter-approved GO bonds in 2021.

The district issued GO promissory notes in 2017 to a single buyer, \$1.9 million of which is outstanding. The notes, maturing in April 2021, are not subject to acceleration. Therefore, we do not think the notes will pressure liquidity.

Eligible district employees participate in Wisconsin Retirement System, a cost-sharing, multiemployer, defined-benefit system. The district has historically made 100% of required annual pension contributions, which totaled \$1.9 million, or 3.6% of total governmental expenditures, in fiscal 2018. WRS was 103% funded statewide at Dec. 31, 2017.

The district also offers life insurance and a defined-benefit health-insurance plan to retirees. The district set up a trust for its retiree health-insurance plan, which was 110% funded at June 30, 2017. Pension and other-postemployment-benefit costs totaled \$3.1 million in fiscal 2018, or 6% of total governmental expenditures.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects S&P Global Ratings' opinion that with the help of growing enrollment, the district will likely maintain positive operations and very strong reserves while generating surpluses for capital projects within the two-year outlook period. We believe the district's participation in the Madison metropolitan statistical area's broad and diverse economy provides additional rating stability.

Upside scenario

We could raise the rating if key economic indicators were to increase to levels we consider commensurate with 'AAA' rated credits and if debt burden were to lower to a moderate level, while management maintains, at least, balanced operations and very strong reserves.

Downside scenario

We could lower the rating if management cannot maintain balanced operations, resulting in substantially decreased operating reserves.

Related Research

Alternative Financing: Disclosure Is Critical To Credit Analysis In Public Finance, Feb. 18, 2014

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Summary: DeForest Area School District, Wisconsin; General Obligation

Copyright © 2019 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.